

# Enabling Applications to Use Your Identity Management System

---

*Or “Why Mark began losing his hair at age 23”*

*Mark Earnest*

*The Pennsylvania State University*



# Where We Are Coming From

---

- ❑ Authentication: Kerberos V (DCE) - aka Access Accounts
  - ❑ “Friends of Penn State” Kerberos realm
- ❑ Authorization: Mostly application specific (user lists), some DCE group usage
- ❑ No web initial sign on
- ❑ Thankfully, the vast majority of Penn State uses the centrally managed Access Accounts



# Central Authorization Today

---

- ❑ DCE Groups
- ❑ Provisioning occurs via a variety of means:
  - ❑ Triggered automatically - eg: new student registration
  - ❑ Controlled Manually - eg: User Managed Groups
    - ❑ Delegated authority to manage these groups



# Central Authorization Tomorrow

---

- ❑ IBM Secureway LDAP
- ❑ LDAP Groups
  - ❑ Attributes & Groups (where each makes sense)
  - ❑ Retain User Managed Groups and delegated authority
- ❑ Direct LDAP calls? Shib-like Attribute Authority?



# Case Study: Portal & Webmail

---

- Penn State Portal and Webmail
  - Authentication and Authorization are handled at the web server via mod\_auth\_dce
  - AuthN/AuthZ easily changed to a single sign on architecture that uses Apache modules
  - Only sticking point is that DFS is required. SSO must be able to pass a credential that can be converted into a DCE context



# Case Study: eLion & Angel

---

- ❑ eLion - Student and Faculty Portal
  - ❑ UserID and password are obtained via a web form and passed off onto a DLL that authenticates via DCE - DCE creds needed for RPC call
- ❑ Angel - Course Management System
  - ❑ Similar to eLion, but does not require credentials
- ❑ Both require Friends of Penn State integration



# Case Study: ISIS & IBIS

---

- ❑ ISIS & IBIS - Student and business logic
  - ❑ Relies on front end to provide authentication
  - ❑ Authorization is done via user lists stored in local database. (IdM stone age)
  - ❑ Complete re-architecture required to integrate with modern identity management system



# Migration Solutions: Cosign

---

- ❑ Web based initial sign on architecture using Kerberos V
- ❑ Drop in web module for Apache or IIS
- ❑ Capable of issuing Kerberos service tickets
- ❑ Current direction for Webmail, Portal, and eLion



# Making Cosign Work For Us

---

- ❑ Current requirements include access to DFS space (Portal, Webmail) and the ability to make an authenticated DCE RPC call (eLion)
- ❑ Using Paul Henson's DCE/OpenSSL patches, we modified cosign to convert K5 creds to DCE
- ❑ We also modified the Cosign ISAPI module to request K5 tickets
- ❑ We plan to modify Cosign to use our Friends of Penn State realm as well



# Portal & Webmail Solutions

---

- ❑ Cosign with DCE credential conversion code.
- ❑ Still dependent on DCE/DFS
- ❑ Future plans include LDAP based authorization and neutral distributed filesystem requirements



# Potential Angel Solutions

---

- Cosign + LDAP
  - LDAP portion needs to be written
- Shibboleth
  - Already exists and works
  - Is protecting attributes internally overkill?
- Either Solution requires significant work



# Potential IBIS & ISIS Solution

---

- ❑ Server “Broker” component receives a K5 ticket from front end and calls a Policy Decision Module
  - ❑ Possibly XACML based policy descriptions
  - ❑ Validates ticket and retrieves LDAP attributes
  - ❑ Makes authorization decision before control is passed into the legacy applications



# IBIS & ISIS Difficulties

---

- Typical nightmare application case: very old code, limited programmers, and mission critical applications
- Natural & ADABAS use their own authn/authz routines for access control and record locking
- Hard sell. Often no perceived need for this kind of architecture



# Future LDAP Plans

---

- New Group Types
  - Dynamic Groups - Auto-generated based on results of a query
  - Nested Groups - Groups inside groups
  - Hybrid Groups - A combination of static, dynamic, and nested
- Fine grained access control to group lists



# Signet? Grouper?

---

- We are watching these applications closely
- Grouper appears to duplicate the functionality we have built that assembles group membership data from various sources
  - We are watching more for ideas for our own system
- Signet is interesting to us because we currently do identity based group management, not role based



# Additional Tricks

---

- ❑ SASL-CA
  - ❑ Similar to the KCA (kx509) but uses SASL to negotiate authentication method
  - ❑ Signs a short term client cert (digitalSignature key extension)
  - ❑ Cert can contain identifying information, or a Shibboleth persistent opaque handle for use with Attribute Authority
  - ❑ Originally developed as part of the Lionshare project



# Questions/Comments

---

- ❑ My Email: [mxe2o@psu.edu](mailto:mxe2o@psu.edu)
- ❑ Presentation URL:
  - ❑ <http://www.personal.psu.edu/mxe2o>
- ❑ Thank you :)